



TeMa comments

1 message

Carney, Brian D <brian.d.carney@wv.gov>
To: Brian D Carney <brian.d.carney@wv.gov>

Tue, Jun 25, 2024 at 10:39 AM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: "Air Quality Permitting, DEP" <depairqualitypermitting@wv.gov>
To: Brian D Carney <brian.d.carney@wv.gov>, Laura M Crowder <laura.m.crowder@wv.gov>
Cc: Beverly D McKeone <beverly.d.mckeone@wv.gov>, Nicole D Ernest <nicole.d.ernest@wv.gov>, Terry A Fletcher <terry.a.fletcher@wv.gov>
Bcc:
Date: Mon, 10 Jun 2024 07:46:03 -0400
Subject: Fwd: Public Comment for TeMa Modification Air Permit application R13-3414A
Comment period closed at 5pm Friday. This came in at 4:42 pm - so it met the deadline. Found in the SPAM folder.

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Lynn Delles** <LBDelles@gmail.com>
Date: Fri, Jun 7, 2024 at 4:42 PM
Subject: Public Comment for TeMa Modification Air Permit application R13-3414A
To: <brian.d.carney@wv.gov>, <DEPAirQualityPermitting@wv.gov>

Dear Mr. Carney,

Please consider and address the following issues with the TEMA air permit (R13-3414) before approving.

- 1 – The applicant claims no fugitive emissions from truck unloading or storage. This is hard to accept because this includes material delivered in bulk sack and “placed in storage or blown into a silo” and grinding of trimmings with transfer of grindings to a silo. Please require the applicant to accurately estimate and control the fugitive emissions associated with truck unloading and materials storage.
- 2 – For the Baghouse X2DC for the XPS silo only “Other, specify: visual checks” is marked under “how is filter monitored for indications of deterioration (e.g., broken bags)?” However, under the “Proposed Monitoring, Recordkeeping, Reporting, and Testing” section “Differential pressure” is listed under monitoring and recordkeeping. Please ensure that differential pressure is being monitored and that alarms are required that indicate when the device is out of specification.
- 3 – The efficiency of Baghouse X2DC for XPS silo is listed as 100% with 95% control. Is this realistic? This is apparently supported by manufacturers information. However, the same is assumed for Baghouse X3DC for the future silo even though no manufacturer has been selected for that baghouse yet. What is the basis for this assumption?
- 4 – Baghouse X3DC has no monitoring or recording suggested. Please require stringent monitoring and recording for Baghouse X3DC.
- 5 – In the permit there are only very general operating and maintenance requirements for baghouses but no certification of this or other types of monitoring. Please require visual checks and pressure differentials monitoring with alarms for both baghouses. Please also require monthly maintenance checks of the bag houses with required documentation and reporting.

It is important that the DEP gets this right. As the county leadership continues to welcome industry, it's important that existing industries in the area are held to the highest standard for the sake of the air quality. Thank you for your consideration of these comments and your attention to this important matter.

--

Lynn Delles
LBDelles@gmail.com

----- Forwarded message -----

From: "Air Quality Permitting, DEP" <depairqualitypermitting@wv.gov>
To: Brian D Carney <brian.d.carney@wv.gov>, Laura M Crowder <laura.m.crowder@wv.gov>
Cc: Beverly D McKeone <beverly.d.mckeone@wv.gov>, Nicole D Ernest <nicole.d.ernest@wv.gov>, Terry A Fletcher

<terry.a.fletcher@wv.gov>

Bcc:

Date: Mon, 3 Jun 2024 07:39:53 -0400

Subject: Fwd: Public Comment for TeMa Modification Air Permit application R13-3414A

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Emily D Gordon** <edgrolfer@gmail.com>

Date: Mon, Jun 3, 2024 at 7:12 AM

Subject: Public Comment for TeMa Modification Air Permit application R13-3414A

To: <brian.d.carney@wv.gov>, <DEPAirQualityPermitting@wv.gov>

Dear Mr. Carney,

Please consider and address the following issues with the TEMA air permit (R13-3414) before approving.

1 – The applicant claims no fugitive emissions from truck unloading or storage. This is hard to accept because this includes cement delivered in bulk sack and “placed in storage or blown into a silo” and grinding of trimmings with transfer of grindings to a silo. Please require the applicant to accurately estimate and control the fugitive emissions associated with truck unloading and materials storage.

2 – For the Baghouse X2DC for the XPS silo only “Other, specify: visual checks” is marked under “how is filter monitored for indications of deterioration (e.g., broken bags)?” However, under the “Proposed Monitoring, Recordkeeping, Reporting, and Testing” section “Differential pressure” is listed under monitoring and recordkeeping. Please ensure that differential pressure is being monitored and that alarms are required that indicate when the device is out of specification.

3 – The efficiency of Baghouse X2DC for XPS silo is listed as 100% with 95% control. Is this realistic? This is apparently supported by manufacturers information. However, the same is assumed for Baghouse X3DC for the future silo even though no manufacturer has been selected for that baghouse yet. What is the basis for this assumption?

4 – Baghouse X3DC has no monitoring or recording suggested. Please require stringent monitoring and recording for Baghouse X3DC.

5 – In the permit there are only very general operating and maintenance requirements for baghouses but no certification of this or other types of monitoring. Please require visual checks and pressure differentials monitoring with alarms for both baghouses. Please also require monthly maintenance checks of the bag houses with required documentation and reporting.

6 – Please hold a public hearing on this air permit.

Thank you for your consideration of these comments and your attention to this important matter.

--

Emily D Gordon

edgrolfer@gmail.com

----- Forwarded message -----

From: "Air Quality Permitting, DEP" <depairqualitypermitting@wv.gov>

To: Brian D Carney <brian.d.carney@wv.gov>, Laura M Crowder <laura.m.crowder@wv.gov>

Cc: Beverly D McKeone <beverly.d.mckeone@wv.gov>, Nicole D Ernest <nicole.d.ernest@wv.gov>, Terry A Fletcher <terry.a.fletcher@wv.gov>

Bcc:

Date: Fri, 31 May 2024 06:59:33 -0400

Subject: Fwd: Public Comment for TeMa Modification Air Permit application R13-3414A

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Carlo Olivares** <cboli0100@gmail.com>

Date: Thu, May 30, 2024 at 4:02 PM

Subject: Public Comment for TeMa Modification Air Permit application R13-3414A

To: <brian.d.carney@wv.gov>, <DEPAirQualityPermitting@wv.gov>

Dear Mr. Carney,

Please consider and address the following issues with the TEMA air permit (R13-3414) before approving.

1 – The applicant claims no fugitive emissions from truck unloading or storage. This is hard to accept because this includes cement

delivered in bulk sack and "placed in storage or blown into a silo" and grinding of trimmings with transfer of grindings to a silo. Please require the applicant to accurately estimate and control the fugitive emissions associated with truck unloading and materials storage.

2 – For the Baghouse X2DC for the XPS silo only "Other, specify: visual checks" is marked under "how is filter monitored for indications of deterioration (e.g., broken bags)?" However, under the "Proposed Monitoring, Recordkeeping, Reporting, and Testing" section "Differential pressure" is listed under monitoring and recordkeeping. Please ensure that differential pressure is being monitored and that alarms are required that indicate when the device is out of specification.

3 – The efficiency of Baghouse X2DC for XPS silo is listed as 100% with 95% control. Is this realistic? This is apparently supported by manufacturers information. However, the same is assumed for Baghouse X3DC for the future silo even though no manufacturer has been selected for that baghouse yet. What is the basis for this assumption?

4 – Baghouse X3DC has no monitoring or recording suggested. Please require stringent monitoring and recording for Baghouse X3DC.

5 – In the permit there are only very general operating and maintenance requirements for baghouses but no certification of this or other types of monitoring. Please require visual checks and pressure differentials monitoring with alarms for both baghouses. Please also require monthly maintenance checks of the bag houses with required documentation and reporting.

6 – Please hold a public hearing on this air permit.

Thank you for your consideration of these comments and your attention to this important matter.

--
Carlo Olivares
cboli0100@gmail.com

----- Forwarded message -----

From: "Air Quality Permitting, DEP" <depairqualitypermitting@wv.gov>
To: Brian D Carney <brian.d.carney@wv.gov>, Laura M Crowder <laura.m.crowder@wv.gov>
Cc: Beverly D McKeone <beverly.d.mckeone@wv.gov>, Nicole D Ernest <nicole.d.ernest@wv.gov>, Terry A Fletcher <terry.a.fletcher@wv.gov>
Bcc:
Date: Fri, 31 May 2024 11:31:23 -0400
Subject: Fwd: Public Comment for TeMa Modification Air Permit application R13-3414A

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Lisa Payne** <lisagpayne@comcast.net>
Date: Fri, May 31, 2024 at 11:20 AM
Subject: Public Comment for TeMa Modification Air Permit application R13-3414A
To: <brian.d.carney@wv.gov>, <DEPAirQualityPermitting@wv.gov>

Dear Mr. Carney,

I am writing to ask the WVDEP to both consider and address the following issues with the TEMA air permit (R13-3414) before approving.

1 – The applicant claims no fugitive emissions from truck unloading or storage. This is hard to accept because this includes cement delivered in bulk sack and "placed in storage or blown into a silo" and grinding of trimmings with transfer of grindings to a silo. Please require the applicant to accurately estimate and control the fugitive emissions associated with truck unloading and materials storage. Clearly, these are two different processes with very different resulting emissions.

2 – For the Baghouse X2DC for the XPS silo only notes "Other, specify, with "visual checks" marked under "how is filter monitored for indications of deterioration (e.g., broken bags)?" However, under the "Proposed Monitoring, specifically Recordkeeping, Reporting, and Testing" section, "Differential pressure" is listed under monitoring and recordkeeping. Please ensure that differential pressure is being monitored and that alarms are required that indicate when the device is out of specification.

3 – The efficiency of Baghouse X2DC for XPS silo is listed as 100% with 95% control. Is this a realistic number? This is apparently supported by the manufacturers information. However, the same is assumed for Baghouse X3DC for the future silo, even though no manufacturer has been selected for that baghouse yet. What is the basis for this assumption?

4 – Baghouse X3DC has no monitoring or recording suggested. Please require stringent monitoring and recording for Baghouse X3DC.

5 – In the permit there are only very general operating and maintenance requirements for baghouses indicated but no certification of

this or other types of permits as specified. Please require visual checks and pressure differentials monitoring with alarms for both baghouses. Please also require monthly maintenance checks of the bag houses, with required documentation and reporting.

6 – Please hold a public hearing on this air permit. Other than relocating, the citizens of Jefferson County have to live with the environmental impacts imposed upon the air they breathe. Their voice is equally, if not more important than the companies impacting their lives.

Thank you for your consideration of these comments and your attention to this important matter.

--

Lisa Payne
lisagpayne@comcast.net

----- Forwarded message -----

From: "Air Quality Permitting, DEP" <depairqualitypermitting@wv.gov>
To: Brian D Carney <brian.d.carney@wv.gov>, Laura M Crowder <laura.m.crowder@wv.gov>
Cc: Beverly D McKeone <beverly.d.mckeone@wv.gov>, Nicole D Ernest <nicole.d.ernest@wv.gov>, Terry A Fletcher <terry.a.fletcher@wv.gov>
Bcc:
Date: Thu, 30 May 2024 15:49:11 -0400
Subject: Fwd: Public Comment for TeMa Modification Air Permit application R13-3414A

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Leyland delre** <leyland.delre@gmail.com>
Date: Thu, May 30, 2024 at 3:41 PM
Subject: Public Comment for TeMa Modification Air Permit application R13-3414A
To: <brian.d.carney@wv.gov>, <DEPAirQualityPermitting@wv.gov>

Dear Mr. Carney,

Please consider and address the following issues with the TEMA air permit (R13-3414) before approving.

1 – The applicant claims no fugitive emissions from truck unloading or storage. This is hard to accept because this includes cement delivered in bulk sack and “placed in storage or blown into a silo” and grinding of trimmings with transfer of grindings to a silo. Please require the applicant to accurately estimate and control the fugitive emissions associated with truck unloading and materials storage.

2 – For the Baghouse X2DC for the XPS silo only “Other, specify: visual checks” is marked under “how is filter monitored for indications of deterioration (e.g., broken bags)?” However, under the “Proposed Monitoring, Recordkeeping, Reporting, and Testing” section “Differential pressure” is listed under monitoring and recordkeeping. Please ensure that differential pressure is being monitored and that alarms are required that indicate when the device is out of specification.

3 – The efficiency of Baghouse X2DC for XPS silo is listed as 100% with 95% control. Is this realistic? This is apparently supported by manufacturers information. However, the same is assumed for Baghouse X3DC for the future silo even though no manufacturer has been selected for that baghouse yet. What is the basis for this assumption?

4 – Baghouse X3DC has no monitoring or recording suggested. Please require stringent monitoring and recording for Baghouse X3DC.

5 – In the permit there are only very general operating and maintenance requirements for baghouses but no certification of this or other types of monitoring. Please require visual checks and pressure differentials monitoring with alarms for both baghouses. Please also require monthly maintenance checks of the bag houses with required documentation and reporting.

6 – Please hold a public hearing on this air permit.

Thank you for your consideration of these comments and your attention to this important matter.

My family has chosen to live in this area because of the beautiful clean air and water. We have noticed how many more poor air quality days there have been. Don't let this rest on your conscience.

--

Leyland delre
leyland.delre@gmail.com

----- Forwarded message -----

From: "Air Quality Permitting, DEP" <depairqualitypermitting@wv.gov>
To: Brian D Carney <brian.d.carney@wv.gov>, Laura M Crowder <laura.m.crowder@wv.gov>
Cc: Beverly D McKeone <beverly.d.mckeone@wv.gov>, Nicole D Ernest <nicole.d.ernest@wv.gov>, Terry A Fletcher <terry.a.fletcher@wv.gov>
Bcc:
Date: Wed, 29 May 2024 07:30:24 -0400
Subject: Fwd: Public Comment for TeMa Modification Air Permit application R13-3414A

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Christian Lotze** <Chrislotze@gmail.com>
Date: Tue, May 28, 2024 at 5:19 PM
Subject: Public Comment for TeMa Modification Air Permit application R13-3414A
To: <brian.d.carney@wv.gov>, <DEPAirQualityPermitting@wv.gov>

Dear Mr. Carney,

Please consider and address the following issues with the TEMA air permit (R13-3414) before approving.

1 – The applicant claims no fugitive emissions from truck unloading or storage. This is hard to accept because this includes cement delivered in bulk sack and “placed in storage or blown into a silo” and grinding of trimmings with transfer of grindings to a silo. Please require the applicant to accurately estimate and control the fugitive emissions associated with truck unloading and materials storage.

2 – For the Baghouse X2DC for the XPS silo only “Other, specify: visual checks” is marked under “how is filter monitored for indications of deterioration (e.g., broken bags)?” However, under the “Proposed Monitoring, Recordkeeping, Reporting, and Testing” section “Differential pressure” is listed under monitoring and recordkeeping. Please ensure that differential pressure is being monitored and that alarms are required that indicate when the device is out of specification.

3 – The efficiency of Baghouse X2DC for XPS silo is listed as 100% with 95% control. Is this realistic? This is apparently supported by manufacturers information. However, the same is assumed for Baghouse X3DC for the future silo even though no manufacturer has been selected for that baghouse yet. What is the basis for this assumption?

4 – Baghouse X3DC has no monitoring or recording suggested. Please require stringent monitoring and recording for Baghouse X3DC.

5 – In the permit there are only very general operating and maintenance requirements for baghouses but no certification of this or other types of monitoring. Please require visual checks and pressure differentials monitoring with alarms for both baghouses. Please also require monthly maintenance checks of the bag houses with required documentation and reporting.

6 – Please hold a public hearing on this air permit.

7. Our land, Roxley Farms, is located within a few miles down Wiltshire Rd, and we would be among the first to be exposed to these higher emissions. PLEASE do not permit the requested increases!

Thank you for your consideration of these comments and your attention to this important matter.

--
Christian Lotze
Chrislotze@gmail.com

----- Forwarded message -----

From: "Air Quality Permitting, DEP" <depairqualitypermitting@wv.gov>
To: Brian D Carney <brian.d.carney@wv.gov>, Laura M Crowder <laura.m.crowder@wv.gov>
Cc: Beverly D McKeone <beverly.d.mckeone@wv.gov>, Terry A Fletcher <terry.a.fletcher@wv.gov>, Nicole D Ernest <nicole.d.ernest@wv.gov>
Bcc:
Date: Tue, 28 May 2024 07:34:58 -0400
Subject: Fwd: Public Comment for TeMa Modification Air Permit application R13-3414A

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Linda Roberts** <llr5504@gmail.com>
Date: Mon, May 27, 2024 at 7:02 AM
Subject: Public Comment for TeMa Modification Air Permit application R13-3414A
To: <brian.d.carney@wv.gov>, <DEPAirQualityPermitting@wv.gov>

Dear Mr. Carney,

I am concerned about this request based on the the issues below. In addition, I believe it is imperative to follow all required regulations in order to keep West Virginia a safe place to live. If the issues are not addressed, I believe we will see a drop off in population and recreation. Jefferson county will no longer be a desirable place to live or vacation.

Please consider and address the following issues with the TEMA air permit (R13-3414) before approving.

1 – The applicant claims no fugitive emissions from truck unloading or storage. This is hard to accept because this includes cement delivered in bulk sack and “placed in storage or blown into a silo” and grinding of trimmings with transfer of grindings to a silo. Please require the applicant to accurately estimate and control the fugitive emissions associated with truck unloading and materials storage.

2 – For the Baghouse X2DC for the XPS silo only “Other, specify: visual checks” is marked under “how is filter monitored for indications of deterioration (e.g., broken bags)?” However, under the “Proposed Monitoring, Recordkeeping, Reporting, and Testing” section “Differential pressure” is listed under monitoring and recordkeeping. Please ensure that differential pressure is being monitoring and that alarms are required that indicate when the device is out of specification.

3 – The efficiency of Baghouse X2DC for XPS silo is listed as 100% with 95% control. Is this realistic? This is apparently supported by manufacturers information. However, the same is assumed for Baghouse X3DC for the future silo even though no manufacturer has been selected for that baghouse yet. What is the basis for this assumption?

4 – Baghouse X3DC has no monitoring or recoding suggested. Please require stringent monitoring and recording for Baghouse X3DC.

5 – In the permit there are only very general operating and maintenance requirements for baghouses but no certification of this or other types of monitoring. Please require visual checks and pressure differentials monitoring with alarms for both baghouses. Please also require monthly maintenance checks of the bag houses with required documentation and reporting.

6 – Please hold a public hearing on this air permit.

Thank you for your consideration of these comments and your attention to this important matter.

--

Linda Roberts
llr5504@gmail.com

----- Forwarded message -----

From: "Air Quality Permitting, DEP" <depairqualitypermitting@wv.gov>
To: Brian D Carney <brian.d.carney@wv.gov>, Laura M Crowder <laura.m.crowder@wv.gov>
Cc: Beverly D McKeone <beverly.d.mckeone@wv.gov>, Terry A Fletcher <terry.a.fletcher@wv.gov>, Nicole D Ernest <nicole.d.ernest@wv.gov>
Bcc:
Date: Wed, 22 May 2024 07:37:31 -0400
Subject: Fwd: Public Comment for TeMa Modification Air Permit application R13-3414A

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Pamela Rowley** <pamelakrowley@gmail.com>
Date: Wed, May 22, 2024 at 7:04 AM
Subject: Public Comment for TeMa Modification Air Permit application R13-3414A
To: <brian.d.carney@wv.gov>, <DEPAirQualityPermitting@wv.gov>

Dear Mr. Carney,

Please consider and address the following issues with the TEMA air permit (R13-3414) before approving.

1 – The applicant claims no fugitive emissions from truck unloading or storage. This is hard to accept because this includes cement delivered in bulk sack and “placed in storage or blown into a silo” and grinding of trimmings with transfer of grindings to a silo. Please require the applicant to accurately estimate and control the fugitive emissions associated with truck unloading and materials storage.

2 – For the Baghouse X2DC for the XPS silo only “Other, specify: visual checks” is marked under “how is filter monitored for indications of deterioration (e.g., broken bags)?” However, under the “Proposed Monitoring, Recordkeeping, Reporting, and Testing” section “Differential pressure” is listed under monitoring and recordkeeping. Please ensure that differential pressure is being monitoring and that alarms are required that indicate when the device is out of specification.

3 – The efficiency of Baghouse X2DC for XPS silo is listed as 100% with 95% control. Is this realistic? This is apparently supported by manufacturers information. However, the same is assumed for Baghouse X3DC for the future silo even though no manufacturer has

been selected for that baghouse yet. What is the basis for this assumption?

4 – Baghouse X3DC has no monitoring or recoding suggested. Please require stringent monitoring and recording for Baghouse X3DC.

5 – In the permit there are only very general operating and maintenance requirements for baghouses but no certification of this or other types of monitoring. Please require visual checks and pressure differentials monitoring with alarms for both baghouses. Please also require monthly maintenance checks of the bag houses with required documentation and reporting.

6 – Please hold a public hearing on this air permit.

Thank you for your consideration of these comments and your attention to this important matter.

--

Pamela Rowley
pamelakrowley@gmail.com

----- Forwarded message -----

From: "Air Quality Permitting, DEP" <depairqualitypermitting@wv.gov>
To: Brian D Carney <brian.d.carney@wv.gov>, Laura M Crowder <laura.m.crowder@wv.gov>
Cc: Terry A Fletcher <terry.a.fletcher@wv.gov>, Nicole D Ernest <nicole.d.ernest@wv.gov>, Beverly D McKeone <beverly.d.mckeone@wv.gov>
Bcc:
Date: Wed, 22 May 2024 07:36:55 -0400
Subject: Fwd: Public Comment for TeMa Modification Air Permit application R13-3414A

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Christina Melocik** <chris.tiny@comcast.net>
Date: Tue, May 21, 2024 at 10:00 PM
Subject: Public Comment for TeMa Modification Air Permit application R13-3414A
To: <brian.d.carney@wv.gov>, <DEPAirQualityPermitting@wv.gov>

Dear Mr. Carney,

Please consider and address the following issues with the TEMA air permit (R13-3414) before approving.

1 – The applicant claims no fugitive emissions from truck unloading or storage. This is hard to accept because this includes cement delivered in bulk sack and “placed in storage or blown into a silo” and grinding of trimmings with transfer of grindings to a silo. Please require the applicant to accurately estimate and control the fugitive emissions associated with truck unloading and materials storage.

2 – For the Baghouse X2DC for the XPS silo only “Other, specify: visual checks” is marked under “how is filter monitored for indications of deterioration (e.g., broken bags)?” However, under the “Proposed Monitoring, Recordkeeping, Reporting, and Testing” section “Differential pressure” is listed under monitoring and recordkeeping. Please ensure that differential pressure is being monitored and that alarms are required that indicate when the device is out of specification.

3 – The efficiency of Baghouse X2DC for XPS silo is listed as 100% with 95% control. Is this realistic? This is apparently supported by manufacturers information. However, the same is assumed for Baghouse X3DC for the future silo even though no manufacturer has been selected for that baghouse yet. What is the basis for this assumption?

4 – Baghouse X3DC has no monitoring or recoding suggested. Please require stringent monitoring and recording for Baghouse X3DC.

5 – In the permit there are only very general operating and maintenance requirements for baghouses but no certification of this or other types of monitoring. Please require visual checks and pressure differentials monitoring with alarms for both baghouses. Please also require monthly maintenance checks of the bag houses with required documentation and reporting.

6 – Please hold a public hearing on this air permit.

Thank you for your consideration of these comments and your attention to this important matter.

--

Christina Melocik
chris.tiny@comcast.net

----- Forwarded message -----

From: "Air Quality Permitting, DEP" <depairqualitypermitting@wv.gov>

To: Brian D Carney <brian.d.carney@wv.gov>, Laura M Crowder <laura.m.crowder@wv.gov>

Cc: Beverly D McKeone <beverly.d.mckeone@wv.gov>, Terry A Fletcher <terry.a.fletcher@wv.gov>, Nicole D Ernest <nicole.d.ernest@wv.gov>

Bcc:

Date: Wed, 22 May 2024 07:36:15 -0400

Subject: Fwd: Public Comment for TeMa Modification Air Permit application R13-3414A

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Conrad Lotze** <clotze@hotmail.com>

Date: Tue, May 21, 2024 at 3:20 PM

Subject: Public Comment for TeMa Modification Air Permit application R13-3414A

To: <brian.d.carney@wv.gov>, <DEPAirQualityPermitting@wv.gov>

Dear Mr. Carney,

Please consider and address the following issues with the TEMA air permit (R13-3414) before approving.

1 – The applicant claims no fugitive emissions from truck unloading or storage. This is hard to accept because this includes cement delivered in bulk sack and “placed in storage or blown into a silo” and grinding of trimmings with transfer of grindings to a silo. Please require the applicant to accurately estimate and control the fugitive emissions associated with truck unloading and materials storage.

2 – For the Baghouse X2DC for the XPS silo only “Other, specify: visual checks” is marked under “how is filter monitored for indications of deterioration (e.g., broken bags)?” However, under the “Proposed Monitoring, Recordkeeping, Reporting, and Testing” section “Differential pressure” is listed under monitoring and recordkeeping. Please ensure that differential pressure is being monitored and that alarms are required that indicate when the device is out of specification.

3 – The efficiency of Baghouse X2DC for XPS silo is listed as 100% with 95% control. Is this realistic? This is apparently supported by manufacturers information. However, the same is assumed for Baghouse X3DC for the future silo even though no manufacturer has been selected for that baghouse yet. What is the basis for this assumption?

4 – Baghouse X3DC has no monitoring or recording suggested. Please require stringent monitoring and recording for Baghouse X3DC.

5 – In the permit there are only very general operating and maintenance requirements for baghouses but no certification of this or other types of monitoring. Please require visual checks and pressure differentials monitoring with alarms for both baghouses. Please also require monthly maintenance checks of the bag houses with required documentation and reporting.

6 – Please hold a public hearing on this air permit.

Thank you for your consideration of these comments and your attention to this important matter.

Best, Conrad Lotze, PhD

--

Conrad Lotze

clotze@hotmail.com

----- Forwarded message -----

From: "Air Quality Permitting, DEP" <depairqualitypermitting@wv.gov>

To: Brian D Carney <brian.d.carney@wv.gov>, Laura M Crowder <laura.m.crowder@wv.gov>

Cc: Beverly D McKeone <beverly.d.mckeone@wv.gov>, Terry A Fletcher <terry.a.fletcher@wv.gov>, Nicole D Ernest <nicole.d.ernest@wv.gov>

Bcc:

Date: Tue, 21 May 2024 07:59:25 -0400

Subject: Fwd: Public Comment for TeMa Modification Air Permit application R13-3414A

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Jim Reid** <preid64@comcast.net>

Date: Mon, May 20, 2024 at 9:56 PM

Subject: Public Comment for TeMa Modification Air Permit application R13-3414A

To: <brian.d.carney@wv.gov>, <DEPAirQualityPermitting@wv.gov>

Dear Mr. Carney,

Please consider and address the following issues with the TEMA air permit (R13-3414) before approving.

1 – The applicant claims no fugitive emissions from truck unloading or storage. This is hard to accept because this includes cement delivered in bulk sack and “placed in storage or blown into a silo” and grinding of trimmings with transfer of grindings to a silo. Please require the applicant to accurately estimate and control the fugitive emissions associated with truck unloading and materials storage.

2 – For the Baghouse X2DC for the XPS silo only “Other, specify: visual checks” is marked under “how is filter monitored for indications of deterioration (e.g., broken bags)?” However, under the “Proposed Monitoring, Recordkeeping, Reporting, and Testing” section “Differential pressure” is listed under monitoring and recordkeeping. Please ensure that differential pressure is being monitored and that alarms are required that indicate when the device is out of specification.

3 – The efficiency of Baghouse X2DC for XPS silo is listed as 100% with 95% control. Is this realistic? This is apparently supported by manufacturers information. However, the same is assumed for Baghouse X3DC for the future silo even though no manufacturer has been selected for that baghouse yet. What is the basis for this assumption?

4 – Baghouse X3DC has no monitoring or recording suggested. Please require stringent monitoring and recording for Baghouse X3DC.

5 – In the permit there are only very general operating and maintenance requirements for baghouses but no certification of this or other types of monitoring. Please require visual checks and pressure differentials monitoring with alarms for both baghouses. Please also require monthly maintenance checks of the bag houses with required documentation and reporting.

6 – Please hold a public hearing on this air permit.

7 = In a county with booming residential growth, large annual tourism industry, agriculture and a casino to boot, it seems idiotic that county officials would put all this in jeopardy by bringing in heavy polluters unless someones pockets are being lined. We shouldnt be a sacrifice zone for corrupt Charleston. Please end this stupidity.

Thank you for your consideration of these comments and your attention to this important matter.

--

Jim Reid
preid64@comcast.net

----- Forwarded message -----

From: "Air Quality Permitting, DEP" <depairqualitypermitting@wv.gov>
To: Brian D Carney <brian.d.carney@wv.gov>, Laura M Crowder <laura.m.crowder@wv.gov>
Cc: Beverly D McKeone <beverly.d.mckeone@wv.gov>, Terry A Fletcher <terry.a.fletcher@wv.gov>, Nicole D Ernest <nicole.d.ernest@wv.gov>
Bcc:
Date: Mon, 20 May 2024 12:34:33 -0400
Subject: Fwd: Public Comment for TeMa Modification Air Permit application R13-3414A

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Walter chatham** <walter@wfchatham.com>
Date: Mon, May 20, 2024 at 12:27 PM
Subject: Public Comment for TeMa Modification Air Permit application R13-3414A
To: <brian.d.carney@wv.gov>, <DEPAirQualityPermitting@wv.gov>

Dear Mr. Carney,

Please consider and address the following issues with the TEMA air permit (R13-3414) before approving.

1 – The applicant claims no fugitive emissions from truck unloading or storage. This is hard to accept because this includes cement delivered in bulk sack and “placed in storage or blown into a silo” and grinding of trimmings with transfer of grindings to a silo. Please require the applicant to accurately estimate and control the fugitive emissions associated with truck unloading and materials storage.

2 – For the Baghouse X2DC for the XPS silo only “Other, specify: visual checks” is marked under “how is filter monitored for indications of deterioration (e.g., broken bags)?” However, under the “Proposed Monitoring, Recordkeeping, Reporting, and Testing” section “Differential pressure” is listed under monitoring and recordkeeping. Please ensure that differential pressure is being monitored and that alarms are required that indicate when the device is out of specification.

3 – The efficiency of Baghouse X2DC for XPS silo is listed as 100% with 95% control. Is this realistic? This is apparently supported by

manufacturers information. However, the same is assumed for Baghouse X3DC for the future silo even though no manufacturer has been selected for that baghouse yet. What is the basis for this assumption?

4 – Baghouse X3DC has no monitoring or recoding suggested. Please require stringent monitoring and recording for Baghouse X3DC.

5 – In the permit there are only very general operating and maintenance requirements for baghouses but no certification of this or other types of monitoring. Please require visual checks and pressure differentials monitoring with alarms for both baghouses. Please also require monthly maintenance checks of the bag houses with required documentation and reporting.

6 – Please hold a public hearing on this air permit.

Thank you for your consideration of these comments and your attention to this important matter.

--

Walter chatham
walter@wfchatham.com

----- Forwarded message -----

From: "Air Quality Permitting, DEP" <depairqualitypermitting@wv.gov>
To: Brian D Carney <brian.d.carney@wv.gov>, Laura M Crowder <laura.m.crowder@wv.gov>
Cc: Beverly D McKeone <beverly.d.mckeone@wv.gov>, Terry A Fletcher <terry.a.fletcher@wv.gov>, Nicole D Ernest <nicole.d.ernest@wv.gov>
Bcc:
Date: Tue, 21 May 2024 07:58:50 -0400
Subject: Fwd: Public Comment for TeMa Modification Air Permit application R13-3414A

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **James Reid** <spyro2gyro@comcast.net>
Date: Mon, May 20, 2024 at 9:45 PM
Subject: Public Comment for TeMa Modification Air Permit application R13-3414A
To: <brian.d.carney@wv.gov>, <DEPAirQualityPermitting@wv.gov>

Dear Mr. Carney,

Please consider and address the following issues with the TEMA air permit (R13-3414) before approving.

1 – The applicant claims no fugitive emissions from truck unloading or storage. This is hard to accept because this includes cement delivered in bulk sack and “placed in storage or blown into a silo” and grinding of trimmings with transfer of grindings to a silo. Please require the applicant to accurately estimate and control the fugitive emissions associated with truck unloading and materials storage.

2 – For the Baghouse X2DC for the XPS silo only “Other, specify: visual checks” is marked under “how is filter monitored for indications of deterioration (e.g., broken bags)?” However, under the “Proposed Monitoring, Recordkeeping, Reporting, and Testing” section “Differential pressure” is listed under monitoring and recordkeeping. Please ensure that differential pressure is being monitored and that alarms are required that indicate when the device is out of specification.

3 – The efficiency of Baghouse X2DC for XPS silo is listed as 100% with 95% control. Is this realistic? This is apparently supported by manufacturers information. However, the same is assumed for Baghouse X3DC for the future silo even though no manufacturer has been selected for that baghouse yet. What is the basis for this assumption?

4 – Baghouse X3DC has no monitoring or recoding suggested. Please require stringent monitoring and recording for Baghouse X3DC.

5 – In the permit there are only very general operating and maintenance requirements for baghouses but no certification of this or other types of monitoring. Please require visual checks and pressure differentials monitoring with alarms for both baghouses. Please also require monthly maintenance checks of the bag houses with required documentation and reporting.

6 – Please hold a public hearing on this air permit.

Thank you for your consideration of these comments and your attention to this important matter.

--

James Reid

----- Forwarded message -----

From: "Air Quality Permitting, DEP" <depairqualitypermitting@wv.gov>
To: Brian D Carney <brian.d.carney@wv.gov>, Laura M Crowder <laura.m.crowder@wv.gov>
Cc: Beverly D McKeone <beverly.d.mckeone@wv.gov>, Terry A Fletcher <terry.a.fletcher@wv.gov>, Nicole D Ernest <nicole.d.ernest@wv.gov>
Bcc:
Date: Mon, 20 May 2024 08:25:11 -0400
Subject: Fwd: Public Comment for TeMa Modification Air Permit application R13-3414A

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Martha Sullivan** <sullivanmartha1@gmail.com>
Date: Mon, May 20, 2024 at 4:55 AM
Subject: Public Comment for TeMa Modification Air Permit application R13-3414A
To: <brian.d.carney@wv.gov>, <DEPAirQualityPermitting@wv.gov>

Dear Mr. Carney,

Dear West Virginia Department of Environmental Protection, Division of Air Quality (DAQ),

As a homeowner in Jefferson County concerned about the quality of our air and the environment, I ask that you consider the following points in reference to Air Permit Application R13-3414A:

- 1 – The applicant claims no fugitive emissions from truck unloading or storage. This is hard to accept because this includes cement delivered in bulk sack and “placed in storage or blown into a silo” and grinding of trimmings with transfer of grindings to a silo. Please require the applicant to accurately estimate and control the fugitive emissions associated with truck unloading and materials storage.
- 2 – For the Baghouse X2DC for the XPS silo only “Other, specify: visual checks” is marked under “how is filter monitored for indications of deterioration (e.g., broken bags)?” However, under the “Proposed Monitoring, Recordkeeping, Reporting, and Testing” section “Differential pressure” is listed under monitoring and recordkeeping. Please ensure that differential pressure is being monitored and that alarms are required that indicate when the device is out of specification.
- 3 – The efficiency of Baghouse X2DC for XPS silo is listed as 100% with 95% control. Is this realistic? This is apparently supported by manufacturers information. However, the same is assumed for Baghouse X3DC for the future silo even though no manufacturer has been selected for that baghouse yet. What is the basis for this assumption?
- 4 – Baghouse X3DC has no monitoring or recording suggested. Please require stringent monitoring and recording for Baghouse X3DC.
- 5 – In the permit there are only very general operating and maintenance requirements for baghouses but no certification of this or other types of monitoring. Please require visual checks and pressure differentials monitoring with alarms for both baghouses. Please also require monthly maintenance checks of the bag houses with required documentation and reporting.
- 6 – Please hold a public hearing on this air permit.

Thank you for your consideration of these comments and your attention to this important matter.

--

Martha Sullivan
sullivanmartha1@gmail.com

----- Forwarded message -----

From: "Air Quality Permitting, DEP" <depairqualitypermitting@wv.gov>
To: Brian D Carney <brian.d.carney@wv.gov>, Laura M Crowder <laura.m.crowder@wv.gov>
Cc: Terry A Fletcher <terry.a.fletcher@wv.gov>, Nicole D Ernest <nicole.d.ernest@wv.gov>, Beverly D McKeone <beverly.d.mckeone@wv.gov>
Bcc:
Date: Mon, 20 May 2024 09:16:20 -0400
Subject: Fwd: Public Comment for TeMa Modification Air Permit application R13-3414A

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Hilary Lo** <lo.family.wv@gmail.com>

Date: Mon, May 20, 2024 at 9:01 AM

Subject: Public Comment for TeMa Modification Air Permit application R13-3414A

To: <brian.d.carney@wv.gov>, <DEPAirQualityPermitting@wv.gov>

Dear Mr. Carney,

Please consider and address the following issues with the TEMA air permit (R13-3414) before approving.

1 – The applicant claims no fugitive emissions from truck unloading or storage. This is hard to accept because this includes cement delivered in bulk sack and “placed in storage or blown into a silo” and grinding of trimmings with transfer of grindings to a silo. Please require the applicant to accurately estimate and control the fugitive emissions associated with truck unloading and materials storage.

2 – For the Baghouse X2DC for the XPS silo only “Other, specify: visual checks” is marked under “how is filter monitored for indications of deterioration (e.g., broken bags)?” However, under the “Proposed Monitoring, Recordkeeping, Reporting, and Testing” section “Differential pressure” is listed under monitoring and recordkeeping. Please ensure that differential pressure is being monitored and that alarms are required that indicate when the device is out of specification.

3 – The efficiency of Baghouse X2DC for XPS silo is listed as 100% with 95% control. Is this realistic? This is apparently supported by manufacturer information. However, the same is assumed for Baghouse X3DC for the future silo even though no manufacturer has been selected for that baghouse yet. What is the basis for this assumption?

4 – Baghouse X3DC has no monitoring or recording suggested. Please require stringent monitoring and recording for Baghouse X3DC.

5 – In the permit there are only very general operating and maintenance requirements for baghouses but no certification of this or other types of monitoring. Please require visual checks and pressure differentials monitoring with alarms for both baghouses. Please also require monthly maintenance checks of the bag houses with required documentation and reporting.

6 – Please hold a public hearing on this air permit.

Thank you for your consideration of these comments and your attention to this important matter.

--

Hilary Lo

lo.family.wv@gmail.com

----- Forwarded message -----

From: "Air Quality Permitting, DEP" <depairqualitypermitting@wv.gov>

To: Brian D Carney <brian.d.carney@wv.gov>, Laura M Crowder <laura.m.crowder@wv.gov>

Cc: Beverly D McKeone <beverly.d.mckeone@wv.gov>, Terry A Fletcher <terry.a.fletcher@wv.gov>, Nicole D Ernest <nicole.d.ernest@wv.gov>

Bcc:

Date: Mon, 20 May 2024 08:24:40 -0400

Subject: Fwd: Public Comment for TeMa Modification Air Permit application R13-3414A

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Thomas Dymacek** <Tdymmer@gmail.com>

Date: Sun, May 19, 2024 at 9:48 PM

Subject: Public Comment for TeMa Modification Air Permit application R13-3414A

To: <brian.d.carney@wv.gov>, <DEPAirQualityPermitting@wv.gov>

Dear Mr. Carney,

Please consider and address the following issues with the TEMA air permit (R13-3414) before approving.

1 – The applicant claims no fugitive emissions from truck unloading or storage. This is hard to accept because this includes cement delivered in bulk sack and “placed in storage or blown into a silo” and grinding of trimmings with transfer of grindings to a silo. Please require the applicant to accurately estimate and control the fugitive emissions associated with truck unloading and materials storage.

2 – For the Baghouse X2DC for the XPS silo only “Other, specify: visual checks” is marked under “how is filter monitored for indications of deterioration (e.g., broken bags)?” However, under the “Proposed Monitoring, Recordkeeping, Reporting, and Testing” section “Differential pressure” is listed under monitoring and recordkeeping. Please ensure that differential pressure is being monitored and that alarms are required that indicate when the device is out of specification.

3 – The efficiency of Baghouse X2DC for XPS silo is listed as 100% with 95% control. Is this realistic? This is apparently supported by manufacturers information. However, the same is assumed for Baghouse X3DC for the future silo even though no manufacturer has been selected for that baghouse yet. What is the basis for this assumption?

4 – Baghouse X3DC has no monitoring or recoding suggested. Please require stringent monitoring and recording for Baghouse X3DC.

5 – In the permit there are only very general operating and maintenance requirements for baghouses but no certification of this or other types of monitoring. Please require visual checks and pressure differentials monitoring with alarms for both baghouses. Please also require monthly maintenance checks of the bag houses with required documentation and reporting.

6 – Please hold a public hearing on this air permit.

Thank you for your consideration of these comments and your attention to this important matter.

--

Thomas Dymacek
Tdymmer@gmail.com

----- Forwarded message -----

From: "Air Quality Permitting, DEP" <depairqualitypermitting@wv.gov>
To: Brian D Carney <brian.d.carney@wv.gov>, Laura M Crowder <laura.m.crowder@wv.gov>
Cc: Beverly D McKeone <beverly.d.mckeone@wv.gov>, Terry A Fletcher <terry.a.fletcher@wv.gov>, Nicole D Ernest <nicole.d.ernest@wv.gov>
Bcc:
Date: Mon, 20 May 2024 08:24:15 -0400
Subject: Fwd: Public Comment for TeMa Modification Air Permit application R13-3414A

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Barbara Humes** <bhumes1@comcast.net>
Date: Sun, May 19, 2024 at 8:46 PM
Subject: Public Comment for TeMa Modification Air Permit application R13-3414A
To: <brian.d.carney@wv.gov>, <DEPAirQualityPermitting@wv.gov>

Dear Mr. Carney,

Please consider and address the following issues with the TEMA air permit (R13-3414) before approving.

1 – The applicant claims no fugitive emissions from truck unloading or storage. This is hard to accept because this includes cement delivered in bulk sack and “placed in storage or blown into a silo” and grinding of trimmings with transfer of grindings to a silo. Please require the applicant to accurately estimate and control the fugitive emissions associated with truck unloading and materials storage.

Ideally, emissions should decrease, not increase.

2 – For the Baghouse X2DC for the XPS silo only “Other, specify: visual checks” is marked under “how is filter monitored for indications of deterioration (e.g., broken bags)?” However, under the “Proposed Monitoring, Recordkeeping, Reporting, and Testing” section “Differential pressure” is listed under monitoring and recordkeeping. Please ensure that differential pressure is being monitored and that alarms are required that indicate when the device is out of specification.

3 – The efficiency of Baghouse X2DC for XPS silo is listed as 100% with 95% control. Is this realistic? This is apparently supported by manufacturers information. However, the same is assumed for Baghouse X3DC for the future silo even though no manufacturer has been selected for that baghouse yet. What is the basis for this assumption?

4 – Baghouse X3DC has no monitoring or recoding suggested. Please require stringent monitoring and recording for Baghouse X3DC.

5 – In the permit there are only very general operating and maintenance requirements for baghouses but no certification of this or other types of monitoring. Please require visual checks and pressure differentials monitoring with alarms for both baghouses. Please also require monthly maintenance checks of the bag houses with required documentation and reporting.

6 – Please hold a public hearing on this air permit.

Thank you for your consideration of these comments and your attention to this important matter.

--

Barbara Humes
bhumes1@comcast.net

----- Forwarded message -----

From: "Air Quality Permitting, DEP" <depairqualitypermitting@wv.gov>
To: Brian D Carney <brian.d.carney@wv.gov>, Laura M Crowder <laura.m.crowder@wv.gov>
Cc: Beverly D McKeone <beverly.d.mckeone@wv.gov>, Terry A Fletcher <terry.a.fletcher@wv.gov>, Nicole D Ernest <nicole.d.ernest@wv.gov>
Bcc:
Date: Mon, 20 May 2024 08:23:48 -0400
Subject: Fwd: Public Comment for TeMa Modification Air Permit application R13-3414A

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **STEPHEN BERZINSKAS** <sberzinskas@comcast.net>
Date: Sun, May 19, 2024 at 7:27 PM
Subject: Public Comment for TeMa Modification Air Permit application R13-3414A
To: <brian.d.carney@wv.gov>, <DEPAirQualityPermitting@wv.gov>

Dear Mr. Carney,

Please consider and address the following issues with the TEMA air permit (R13-3414) before approving.

1 – The applicant claims no fugitive emissions from truck unloading or storage. This is hard to accept because this includes cement delivered in bulk sack and “placed in storage or blown into a silo” and griding of trimmings with transfer of grindings to a silo. Please require the applicant to accurately estimate and control the fugitive emissions associated with truck unloading and materials storage.

2 – For the Baghouse X2DC for the XPS silo only “Other, specify: visual checks” is marked under “how is filter monitored for indications of deterioration (e.g., broken bags)?” However, under the “Proposed Monitoring, Recordkeeping, Reporting, and Testing” section “Differential pressure” is listed under monitoring and recordkeeping. Please ensure that differential pressure is being monitored and that alarms are required that indicate when the device is out of specification.

3 – The efficiency of Baghouse X2DC for XPS silo is listed as 100% with 95% control. Is this realistic? This is apparently supported by manufacturer's information. However, the same is assumed for Baghouse X3DC for the future silo even though no manufacturer has been selected for that baghouse yet. What is the basis for this assumption?

4 – Baghouse X3DC has no monitoring or recording suggested. Please require stringent monitoring and recording for Baghouse X3DC.

5 – In the permit there are only very general operating and maintenance requirements for baghouses but no certification of this or other types of monitoring. Please require visual checks and pressure differentials monitoring with alarms for both baghouses. Please also require monthly maintenance checks of the bag houses with required documentation and reporting.

6 – Please hold a public hearing on this air permit.

Thank you for your consideration of these comments and your attention to this important matter.

--
STEPHEN BERZINSKAS
sberzinskas@comcast.net

----- Forwarded message -----

From: "Air Quality Permitting, DEP" <depairqualitypermitting@wv.gov>
To: Brian D Carney <brian.d.carney@wv.gov>, Laura M Crowder <laura.m.crowder@wv.gov>
Cc: Beverly D McKeone <beverly.d.mckeone@wv.gov>, Terry A Fletcher <terry.a.fletcher@wv.gov>, Nicole D Ernest <nicole.d.ernest@wv.gov>
Bcc:
Date: Mon, 20 May 2024 08:23:11 -0400
Subject: Fwd: Public Comment for TeMa Modification Air Permit application R13-3414A

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **William Telfair** <Wbchtelfair@sbcglobal.net>
Date: Sun, May 19, 2024 at 6:27 PM

Subject: Public Comment for TeMa Modification Air Permit application R13-3414A
To: <brian.d.carney@wv.gov>, <DEPAirQualityPermitting@wv.gov>

Dear Mr. Carney,

Please consider and address the following issues with the TEMA air permit (R13-3414) before approving.

1 – The applicant claims no fugitive emissions from truck unloading or storage. This is hard to accept because this includes cement delivered in bulk sack and “placed in storage or blown into a silo” and grinding of trimmings with transfer of grindings to a silo. Please require the applicant to accurately estimate and control the fugitive emissions associated with truck unloading and materials storage.

2 – For the Baghouse X2DC for the XPS silo only “Other, specify: visual checks” is marked under “how is filter monitored for indications of deterioration (e.g., broken bags)?” However, under the “Proposed Monitoring, Recordkeeping, Reporting, and Testing” section “Differential pressure” is listed under monitoring and recordkeeping. Please ensure that differential pressure is being monitored and that alarms are required that indicate when the device is out of specification.

3 – The efficiency of Baghouse X2DC for XPS silo is listed as 100% with 95% control. Is this realistic? This is apparently supported by manufacturers information. However, the same is assumed for Baghouse X3DC for the future silo even though no manufacturer has been selected for that baghouse yet. What is the basis for this assumption?

4 – Baghouse X3DC has no monitoring or recording suggested. Please require stringent monitoring and recording for Baghouse X3DC.

5 – In the permit there are only very general operating and maintenance requirements for baghouses but no certification of this or other types of monitoring. Please require visual checks and pressure differentials monitoring with alarms for both baghouses. Please also require monthly maintenance checks of the bag houses with required documentation and reporting.

6 – Please hold a public hearing on this air permit.

7 - This is important to me and my family (as well as the general public) to reduce the particle content of the air we breathe. Asthma and Diabetes are much worse as these particles are added to the air.

Thank you for your consideration of these comments and your attention to this important matter.

--

William Telfair
Wbchtelfair@sbcglobal.net

19 attachments

 **Fwd: Public Comment for TeMa Modification Air Permit application R13-3414A.eml**
12K

 **Fwd: Public Comment for TeMa Modification Air Permit application R13-3414A.eml**
12K

 **Fwd: Public Comment for TeMa Modification Air Permit application R13-3414A.eml**
12K

 **Fwd: Public Comment for TeMa Modification Air Permit application R13-3414A.eml**
12K

 **Fwd: Public Comment for TeMa Modification Air Permit application R13-3414A.eml**
12K

 **Fwd: Public Comment for TeMa Modification Air Permit application R13-3414A.eml**
12K

 **Fwd: Public Comment for TeMa Modification Air Permit application R13-3414A.eml**
12K

 **Fwd: Public Comment for TeMa Modification Air Permit application R13-3414A.eml**
12K

 **Fwd: Public Comment for TeMa Modification Air Permit application R13-3414A.eml**
12K

-  **Fwd: Public Comment for TeMa Modification Air Permit application R13-3414A.eml**
12K
-  **Fwd: Public Comment for TeMa Modification Air Permit application R13-3414A.eml**
12K
-  **Fwd: Public Comment for TeMa Modification Air Permit application R13-3414A.eml**
12K
-  **Fwd: Public Comment for TeMa Modification Air Permit application R13-3414A.eml**
12K
-  **Fwd: Public Comment for TeMa Modification Air Permit application R13-3414A.eml**
12K
-  **Fwd: Public Comment for TeMa Modification Air Permit application R13-3414A.eml**
12K
-  **Fwd: Public Comment for TeMa Modification Air Permit application R13-3414A.eml**
12K
-  **Fwd: Public Comment for TeMa Modification Air Permit application R13-3414A.eml**
12K
-  **Fwd: Public Comment for TeMa Modification Air Permit application R13-3414A.eml**
12K
-  **Fwd: Public Comment for TeMa Modification Air Permit application R13-3414A.eml**
12K